

Social Bond / Social Bond Programme

External Review Form

Section 1. Basic Information

Issuer name: Far Eastern New Century Corporation

Social Bond ISIN or Issuer Social Bond Framework Name, if applicable: Far Eastern New Century Corporation Sustainability Bond Framework

Independent External Review provider's name: Ernst & Young

Completion date of this form: May 4th 2021

Publication date of review publication: May 4th 2021

Section 2. Review overview

SCOPE OF REVIEW

The following may be used or adapted, where appropriate, to summarise the scope of the review.

The review assessed the following elements and confirmed their alignment with the SBPs:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Use of Proceeds | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Process for Project Evaluation and Selection |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Management of Proceeds | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reporting |

ROLE(S) OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW PROVIDER

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Second Party Opinion | <input type="checkbox"/> Certification |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verification | <input type="checkbox"/> Scoring/Rating |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (<i>please specify</i>): | |

Note: In case of multiple reviews / different providers, please provide separate forms for each review.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF REVIEW and/or LINK TO FULL REVIEW (*if applicable*)

Based on Ernst & Young's ("EY") procedures performed, as described in the report as of April 29th 2021, nothing has come to EY's attention that causes EY to believe that the bonds issuance process of the Far Eastern New Century Corporation's 2021 Sustainability Bond, so far as the use of proceeds, process for evaluation and selection, management of proceeds, and information reporting are concerned, in all material respects, does not meet the criteria as set out in the Social Bond Principles (2020)'s pre-issuance requirement.

Section 3. Detailed review

Reviewers are encouraged to provide the information below to the extent possible and use the comment section to explain the scope of their review.

1. USE OF PROCEEDS

Overall comment on section (if applicable):

Based on EY's procedures performed, nothing has come to EY's attention that causes EY to believe that the bonds issuance process of the Far Eastern New Century Corporation's 2021 Sustainability Bond, so far as the use of proceeds is concerned, in all material respects, does not meet the criteria as set out in the Social Bond Principles (2020)'s pre-issuance requirement.

Use of proceeds categories as per SBP:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Affordable basic infrastructure | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Access to essential services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Affordable housing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Employment generation / programs designed to prevent and/or alleviate unemployment stemming from socioeconomic crises |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Food security and sustainable food systems | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Socioeconomic advancement and empowerment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown at issuance but currently expected to conform with SBP categories, or other eligible areas not yet stated in SBPs | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify): |

If applicable please specify the social taxonomy, if other than SBPs:

Target populations:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living below the poverty line | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excluded and/or marginalised populations and /or communities |
| <input type="checkbox"/> People with disabilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Migrants and /or displaced persons |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Undereducated | <input type="checkbox"/> Underserved, owing to a lack of quality access to essential goods and services |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unemployed | <input type="checkbox"/> Women and/or sexual and gender minorities |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aging populations and vulnerable youth | <input type="checkbox"/> Other vulnerable groups, including as a result of natural disasters |

Other (*please specify*): According to the listed item of Social Bond Principles (2020), the target population includes general public in Taiwan.

2. PROCESS FOR PROJECT EVALUATION AND SELECTION

Overall comment on section (*if applicable*):

Based on EY's procedures performed, nothing has come to EY's attention that causes EY to believe that the bonds issuance process of the Far Eastern New Century Corporation's 2021 Sustainability Bond, so far as the use of proceeds is concerned, in all material respects, does not meet the criteria as set out in the Social Bond Principles (2020)'s pre-issuance requirement.

Evaluation and selection

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credentials on the issuer's social objectives | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documented process to determine that projects fit within defined categories |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defined and transparent criteria for projects eligible for Social Bond proceeds | <input type="checkbox"/> Documented process to identify and manage potential ESG risks associated with the project |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Summary criteria for project evaluation and selection publicly available | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (<i>please specify</i>): |

Information on Responsibilities and Accountability

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evaluation / Selection criteria subject to external advice or verification | <input type="checkbox"/> In-house assessment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (<i>please specify</i>): | |

3. MANAGEMENT OF PROCEEDS

Overall comment on section (*if applicable*):

Based on EY's procedures performed, nothing has come to EY's attention that causes EY to believe that the bonds issuance process of the Far Eastern New Century Corporation's 2021 Sustainability Bond, so far as management of proceeds is concerned, in all material respects, does not meet the criteria as set out in the Social Bond Principles (2020)'s pre-issuance requirement.

Tracking of proceeds:

- | |
|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social Bond proceeds segregated or tracked by the issuer in an appropriate manner |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Disclosure of intended types of temporary investment instruments for unallocated proceeds |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (<i>please specify</i>): |

Additional disclosure:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Allocations to future investments only | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Allocations to both existing and future investments |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Allocation to individual disbursements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Allocation to a portfolio of disbursements |

Disclosure of portfolio balance of unallocated proceeds

Other (please specify):

4. REPORTING

Overall comment on section (if applicable):

Based on EY's procedures performed, nothing has come to EY's attention that causes EY to believe that the bonds issuance process of the CTBC Bank's 2021 Social Bond, so far as reporting is concerned, in all material respects, does not meet the criteria as set out in the Social Bond Principles (2020)'s pre-issuance requirement.

Use of proceeds reporting:

Project-by-project

On a project portfolio basis

Linkage to individual bond(s)

Other (please specify):

Information reported:

Allocated amounts

Social Bond financed share of total investment

Other (please specify):

Frequency:

Annual

Semi-annual

Other (please specify):

Impact reporting:

Project-by-project

On a project portfolio basis

Linkage to individual bond(s)

Other (please specify):

Frequency:

Annual

Semi-annual

Other (please specify):

Information reported (expected or ex-post):

Number of beneficiaries

Target populations

Other ESG indicators (please specify):

Means of Disclosure

Information published in financial report

Information published in sustainability report

Information published in ad hoc documents

Other (please specify):

Reporting reviewed (if yes, please specify which parts of the reporting are subject to external review):

Where appropriate, please specify name and date of publication in the useful links section.

USEFUL LINKS (e.g. to review provider methodology or credentials, to issuer's documentation, etc.)

<https://mops.twse.com.tw/mops/web/index>

SPECIFY OTHER EXTERNAL REVIEWS AVAILABLE, IF APPROPRIATE

Type(s) of Review provided:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Second Party Opinion | <input type="checkbox"/> Certification |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verification | <input type="checkbox"/> Scoring/Rating |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify): | |

Review provider(s):

Ernst & Young



Date of publication:

May 4th 2021

ABOUT ROLE(S) OF REVIEW PROVIDERS AS DEFINED BY THE SBP

1. **Second Party Opinion:** An institution with social expertise, that is independent from the issuer may issue a Second Party Opinion. The institution should be independent from the issuer's adviser for its Social Bond framework, or appropriate procedures, such as information barriers, will have been implemented within the institution to ensure the independence of the Second Party Opinion. It normally entails an assessment of the alignment with the Social Bond Principles. In particular, it can include an assessment of the issuer's overarching objectives, strategy, policy and/or processes relating to social sustainability, and an evaluation of the social features of the type of projects intended for the Use of Proceeds.
2. **Verification:** An issuer can obtain independent verification against a designated set of criteria, typically pertaining to business processes and/or social criteria. Verification may focus on alignment with internal or external standards or claims made by the issuer. Also, evaluation of the socially sustainable features of underlying assets may be termed verification and may reference external criteria. Assurance or attestation regarding an issuer's internal tracking method for use of proceeds, allocation of funds from Social Bond proceeds, statement of social impact or alignment of reporting with the SBP, may also be termed verification.
3. **Certification:** An issuer can have its Social Bond or associated Social Bond framework or Use of Proceeds certified against a recognised external social standard or label. A standard or label defines specific criteria, and alignment with such criteria is normally tested by qualified, accredited third parties, which may verify consistency with the certification criteria.
4. **Social Bond Scoring/Rating:** An issuer can have its Social Bond, associated Social Bond framework or a key feature such as Use of Proceeds evaluated or assessed by qualified third parties, such as specialised research providers or rating agencies, according to an established scoring/rating methodology. The output may include a focus on social performance data, process relative to the SBP, or another benchmark. Such scoring/rating is distinct from credit ratings, which may nonetheless reflect material social risks.